

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/830,742

Applicant(s)

YOSHIDA ET AL.

Examiner

Lalita M. Hamilton

Art Unit

3691

**All Participants:**(1) Lalita M. Hamilton.(2) Orville Cockings.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** March 6, 2007**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*claims of record*


Prior art documents discussed:

*Fetik WO 99/07121***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner pointed out portions of Fetik that discussed payment options. Applicant and Examiner agreed that Fetik did not read onto the invention as claimed.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**LALITA M. HAMILTON**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)